

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
. 10/036,303	. 12/28/2001	Frank Hawley	ACT-307DVA	2755
;	7590 06/06/2002			
Kenneth D'Alessandro			EXAMINER	
Sierra Patent C P.O. Box 6149)		MAI, ANH D	
Stateline, NV 89449			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2814	
			DATE MAILED: 06/06/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) 🔀 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 🕻

Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/036,303

Art Unit: 2814

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

1. Claims 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

While applicant may be his or her own lexicographer, a term in a claim may not be given a meaning repugnant to the usual meaning of that term. See *In re Hill*, 161 F.2d 367, 73 USPQ 482 (CCPA 1947). The term "n-type impurities" in claim 6 is used by the claim to mean "boron implant," while the accepted meaning is "p-type impurities"

Boron ions are well known in the art to be p-type impurities not n-type as claimed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Mehta et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,646,063).

Mehta teaches method for fabricating a shallow-trench isolation transistor on a semiconductor substrate as claimed including:

Application/Control Number: 10/036,303

Art Unit: 2814

forming an isolation trench (44) to define an active region (38) in the silicon substrate (14);

performing sidewall isolation implants on the side and bottom walls of the isolation trench (44);

depositing a dielectric isolation material (60) in the isolation trench;

planarizing the top surface of the silicon substrate and the dielectric isolation material

(60);

forming a gate oxide layer (135) over the active region (38) in the silicon substrate (14); forming and defining gate (108) regions over the gate oxide layer (135) in the active region (38) in the silicon substrate (14); and

forming source and drain regions (112/114) in the active region (38) in the silicon substrate (14). (See Figs. 2-9).

With respect to claim 6, as best understood by the examiner, the sidewall implantation of Mehta comprises implanting p-type impurities.

With respect to claim 7, as best understood by the examiner, implanting p-type impurities of Mehta comprises implanting boron.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/036,303

Art Unit: 2814

3. Claims 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mehta '063 as applied to claims 5 and 6 above, and further in view of Liaw et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,960,276).

With respect to claim 8, Mehta teaches implanting boron ions into the bottom and side walls of the isolation trench.

Thus, Mehta is shown to teach all the features of the claim with the exception of disclosing the concentration of the dopant.

However, Liaw teaches implanting boron into the side and bottom walls of the isolation trench at a concentration within the order of magnitude as claimed (E12).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to implanting boron into the isolation trench (44) of Mehta at the concentration as taught by Liaw to avoid latchup.

With respect to claims 9 and 10, Mehta teaches implanting ions into side and bottom walls of the isolation trench. To implant dopants into side and bottom walls of a trench, it is well known in the art that the implantation should be performed at angles.

Thus, Mehta is shown to teach all the features of the claim with the exception of explicitly disclosing the angle of the implantation.

However, Liaw teaches implanting ions into the side walls of the isolation trench at angle that includes the claimed range.

Application/Control Number: 10/036,303 Page 5

Art Unit: 2814

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to performed the side walls implantation of Mehta at the angle as taught by Liaw to implant dopant into side walls.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anh D. Mai whose telephone number is (703) 305-0575. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Olik Chaudhuri can be reached on (703) 306-2794. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

A.M June 4, 2002

> OLIK CHAUDHURI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Ill Chank